# राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4—संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली—110001

#### National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com





(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Manipur/AE/I-M/P-3/NH-37/2019/179485/1494

Dated: 10.07.2020

To,

### All Bidders

Sub: Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of:

- (i) Widening and Improvement of Imphal-Moreh section from Km 395.680 to Km 425.411 to Two (2) lane with paved shoulders on NH-39 in the State of Manipur (Package-3) on EPC mode under ADB (SASEC) loan under Tranche-3
- (ii) Construction of Moreh Bypass to Two Lane with paved shoulder from Km 421.950 to Km 425.411 (Length = 2.52 Km) on NH-39 near Indo-Myanmar border in the State of Manipur on EPC mode
- (iii) Widening/Strengthening to Two laning with hard shoulder of Pallel-Chandel section From Km 0.000 to Km 18.292 of NH-102C in the state of Manipur on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) Mode Opening of Financial Bid Reg.

Tender ID: 2020\_NHIDC\_545823\_1

Based on the bid documents submitted on 14.05.2020 and submission/clarification received from various bidders, the bid documents have been re-evaluated (details of the same are at Annexure-1). The final/revised technical scores of all the bidders as per RFP are as under:

	Summary of evaluation of Technical Proposals								
S. No.	Name of the bidder	Relevant experienc e for the assignme nt	Experienc e in use of technolog y for road inspection	Qualificatio ns and competenc e of the key staff or the assignment	Total marks	Remarks			
1	Maximum Mark	40	20	40	100				
1	M/s LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	40	20	36.07	96.07	Eligible for opening of financial proposal			

	Summary of e	evaluation of Te	echnical Propo	osals			
S. No.	Name of the hidder	Relevant experienc e for the assignme nt	Experienc e in use of technolog y for road inspection	Qualificatio ns and competenc e of the key staff or the assignment	Total marks	Remarks	
	Maximum Mark	40	20	40	100		
2	M/s Theme Engineering Services Private Limited	39.5	20	33.55	93.05	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	
3	M/s Lion Engineering Consultants Private Limited in association with Rudrabhishek Enterprises Limited	40	19.5	36.86	95.86	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	
4	M/s Dhruv Consultancy Services Limited in association with M S Consultant	40	18	35.51	93.51	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	
5	M/s Planning & Infrastructural Development Consultants Pvt. Ltd in association with MPG Engineering Consultancy Pvt. Ltd	40	15	34.61	89.61	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	
6	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in association with Mspark Futuristics & Associates	40	18	36.84	94.84	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	
7	M/s Arkitehcno Consultants (India) Pvt. Ltd -Satara Infrastructure Management Services Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	40	19	36.23	95.23	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	
8	M/s Tehcnocrats Advisory Services Private Limited in association with Jagadambike Infrasolution Private Limited	The firm is found to be ineligible as per Para C of Section I of RFP for having more than three ongoing consultancy projects in NHIDCL.					

The financial bid of technically qualified bidders shall be opened on 13.07.2020 2. at 1530 Hrs at NHIDCL, HQ, New Delhi. All authorized representatives are requested to attend the same at the schedule date and time.

(K.C.Bhatt)

DGM (Tech)

Annexure-I

## Clarifications w.r.t. queries received from the bidders

(a) M/s Planning & Infrastructural Development Consultants Pvt. Ltd in association with MPG Engineering Consultancy Pvt. Ltd

It may be noted that marks for only those key personnel have been re-evaluated for which the technical score provided by committee is less than the technical score claimed by the bidder.

- (i) Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer: Sandeep Kumar Agrawal
  - Total Marks Claimed by the bidder for the Team Leader: 92
  - Marks Evaluated by ETEC for the Team Leader: 84

Sr. No. as per RFP sr. no	Description	Max. Points	Marks & project claimed by Bidder	Marks evaluated by ETEC	Remarks/ recommendation by ETEC	Final Marks after re- evaluation
b)	Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity of project Preparation including design of major highway Project (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above). < 2 projects -0 2 Projects -11 marks Add 2 marks for each additional project subject to maximum 4 marks.	15	15 marks 4 projects Sr. No. 8 to 11	13 marks 3 project Sr. no. 8,9,10	As per the Project name mentioned at sr. no 11, the project is of 'DPR preparation and construction' but the nature of assignment mentioned by the key personnel is Supervision (PPP). Hence not considered.	13
d)	Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity in Operation and Maintenance of Major Highway (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above). 1 project — 4 marks Add 1 mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark	5	4 1 project Sr. no 12	0 (no project found)	The nature of assignment mentioned in the Project claimed by the key personnel is Supervision (PPP) and only in the name of project 'Operation' word is mentioned however in the experience certificate attached, provided by the employer, there is nowhere mentioned that the key personnel has worked	0

le front

Phyl

N

	specially for the O&M project. Hence not considered.
--	--

Remarks of ETEC: No change in the total marks after re-evaluation.

### (ii) Resident cum Highway Engineer-1: Rakesh Kumar

- Total Marks Claimed by the bidder for the Team Leader: 95
- Marks Evaluated by ETEC for the Team Leader: 90

Sr. No. as per RFP sr. no	Description	Max. Point	Marks & project claimed by Bidder	Marks evaluated by ETEC	Remarks/reco mmendation by ETEC	Final Marks after re- evaluation
ii)	Experience as Resident Engineer/Project Director/Project Manager/Superintending Engineer or equivalent/Executive Engineer or equivalent on construction works/Authority Engineer/Independent Engineer Projects (similar configuration (2/4/6laning*) and above). < 5 years -0; 5 years -15 marks Add 1 marks extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.	20	20 marks 18 years Sr. no (4 to11)	19 marks 17.90 years of exp Sr. no (4 to11)	Inadvertently 19 marks have been printed. The key personnel is eligible for full 20 marks based on his experience.1 additional Mark may be added. Hence total marks for this criterion are 20.	20

Remarks of ETEC: One mark has been added. Total marks after re-evaluation is 91.

## (iii) Resident cum Highway Engineer-2: Jitendra Singh Bisht

- Total Marks Claimed by the bidder for the Team Leader: 95
- Marks Evaluated by ETEC for the Team Leader: 78
- Criterion wherein, marks claimed by bidder and ETEC are different are tabulated under:

le

2/6

Sr. No. as per RFP sr. no	Description	Max. Point s	Marks & project claimed by Bidder	Marks evaluate d b y ETEC	Remarks/recommendatio n by ETEC	Final Marks after re- evaluation
i)	Total Professional Experience in handling Highway projects < 12 years -0 12 years -16 marks Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.	20	20 marks 27 years (Sr. no 1 to 8)	18 marks  14. 80 years of exp. ( Sr no 2,3,4,6,7)	Sr. no 1 & 8 are non highway projects, hence not considered.	18
ii)	Experience as Resident Engineer/Project Director/Project Manager/Superintending Engineer or equivalent/Executive Engineer or equivalent on construction works/Authority Engineer/Independent Engineer Projects (similar configuration (2/4/6laning*) and above). < 5 years -0; 5 years -0; 5 years -15 marks Add 1 marks extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.	20	20 marks 15 years Sr. no (2 to 5, 6 & 7)	6.5 years experien ce (sr. no. 6 & 7)	From sr. no 2 & 3the key personnel has worked as project engineer and for project no 4 as deputy project manager hence not considered.  For project at sr. no 5 is non highway project hence not considered.	16
iii)	Experience in similar capacity in handling major 2/4/6-laning** projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) < 2 nos0 2 nos19 marks Add three marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 6 (Six)marks.	25	25 marks 5 projects Sr. no (2 to 5 , 6 & 7)	19 marks 2 project (Sr no 6 & 7)	From sr. no 2 & 3 the key personnel has worked as project engineer and for project no 4 as deputy project manager hence not considered.  For project at sr. no 5, is non highway project hence not considered.	19
iv)	Experience in similar capacity of Highway Project of Construction/Construction	5	5 marks, 5 projects Sr. no (2 to	4 marks 1 project Sr. no 7	From sr. no 2 & 3 the key personnel has worked as project engineer and for project no 4 as deputy	4

John M. D.

Supervision/IC on EPC Mode(of length 40% of project length or more of	5,6&7)	project manager hence not considered.	
similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)		For project at sr. no 5, is non highway project hence not considered.	
1 Project- 4 marks Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark		Project mentioned at sr no. 6 is a PPP project, hence not considered.	

Remarks of ETEC: No change in the total marks after re-evaluation.

(iv) Road Safety Expert: Vivek Pratap

• Total Marks Claimed by the bidder for the Team Leader: 91

• Marks Evaluated by ETEC for the Team Leader: 81

á	Sr. No. as per RFP sr. no	Description	Max. Points	Marks & project claimed by Bidder	Marks evaluated by ETEC	Remarks/recommend ation by ETEC	Final Marks after re- evaluation
	v)	Experience in similar capacity of preparing Road Safety Management Plans for Inter Urban Highway 1 project -4 marks 2 or more -5 marks	5	5 marks 2 project Sr. No. 7 & 9	0 marks (no project found)	In the claimed project of Key personnel it is nowhere mentioned that he has experience in "Road Safety Management Plans for Inter Urban Highway". Hence not considered	0
	vi)	Experience in similar capacity in field of Road Safety Management Plan 1 project -4 marks 2 or more -5 marks	5	5 marks 8 projects Sr. no 1 to 8	0 marks (no project found)	In the claimed project of Key personnel it is nowhere mentioned that he has experience in "Road Safety Management Plans" Hence not considered	0

Remarks of ETEC: No change in the total marks after re-evalution.

Model

le

46

(b) M/s Arkitehono Consultants (India) Pvt. Ltd - Satara Infrastructure Management Services Pvt. Ltd. (JV)

Marks in the evaluation of the Firm:

Sr. No.	Descri	ption	Max. Points	Marks & project claimed by Bidder	Marks evaluated b y ETEC	Remarks/recommendation by ETEC	Final Marks after re- evaluation
1	Average Turnover years) consultancy business < Rs. 14.0 marks Rs. 14.01 marks Add for a turnover 0 point tw marks for 7.01 Cr a 14.01 Cr. s maximum 0	Cr. – 1.5  additional .25 (Zero o five) every Rs. bove Rs. subject to	2	2	1.5	The turnover of JV partner was left out inadvertently. However, the same has now been considered. Now total annual average turnover of last three years of both the firms comes out to be Rs. 61.43 Cr. Hence total 2 marks may be given.	2 🗸
2	Nos. of High Professiona the firm *					The key personnel associated with the JV partner were left out inadvertently. However,	
2.1	<10	0	10	10	Q	the same has now been considered. Now total Nos. of	
2.2	20-Oct	8	10	10	7	Highway Professionals with	10
2.3	>20 but ≤30	9			the firm comes out to be 51 Hence total 10 marks may be		
2.4	>30	10				given.	

**Remarks of ETEC:** One and half (1.5) mark has been added. Total marks after re-evaluation is 40.

The final technical scores as worked out in the previous technical evaluation & revised technical evaluation by the ETEC are as under:

	Summary of evaluation of Technical Proposals								
S. No.	Name of the bidder	Relevant experienc e for the assignme nt	Experienc e in use of technolog y for road inspection	Qualificatio ns and competenc e of the key staff or the assignment	Total marks	Remarks			

2

Janual

8LM

W/

	Maximum Mark	40	20	40	100		
1	M/s LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	40	20	36.07	96.07	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	
2	M/s Theme Engineering Services Private Limited	39.5	20	33.55	93.05	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	
3	M/s Lion Engineering Consultants Private Limited in association with Rudrabhishek Enterprises Limited	40	19.5	36.86	95.86	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	
4	M/s Dhruv Consultancy Services Limited in association with M S Consultant	40	18	35.51	93.51	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	
5	M/s Planning & Infrastructural Development Consultants Pvt. Ltd in association with MPG Engineering Consultancy Pvt. Ltd	40	15	34.61	89.61	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	
6	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in association with Mspark Futuristics & Associates	40	18	36.84	94.84	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	
7	M/s Arkitehcno Consultants (India) Pvt. Ltd -Satara Infrastructure Management Services Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	40	19	36.23	95.23	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	
8	M/s Tehcnocrats Advisory Services Private Limited in association with Jagadambike Infrasolution Private Limited	The firm is found to be ineligible as per Para C of Section I of RFP for having more than three ongoing consultancy projects in NHIDCL.					

le

Jour Jour

Dhy